UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

9m

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/717,805	11/20/2003	Lorenzo Parrini	16615	8662
50659 7590 01/05/2007 BUTZEL LONG STONERIDGE WEST			. EXAMINER	
			KRUER, STEFAN	
	WARD AVENUE D HILLS, MI 48304		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3654	
				<u>-</u>
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		01/05/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/717,805	PARRINI, LORENZO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Stefan Kruer	3654				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Ju	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 July 2006 and 07 December 2006.					
,2						
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1 - 13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 - 13 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 20 November 2004 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate				

Application/Control Number: 10/717,805

Art Unit: 3654

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 - 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over De Angelis (5,566,786) in view of LaNieve et al (5,437,899).

In Claims 1, 3, 4 and 6 - 9, De Angelis discloses an elongated load-bearing support device (1) with load bearing strands (4), each having a plurality of fibers (5) of a base material in a first phase (aramid fibers (Col. 2, Line 38)) and the strands being surrounded by a sheath (7). The reinforcing material of De Angelis is of a second phase, yet it is externally applied to the base material as "... an impregnating medium, for example polyurethane solution, for the protection of the fibers 5" (Col.3, Line 57) whereby the bending fatigue strength of the strands is increased.

Attention is directed to LaNieve et al, as cited for reference in previous office actions, teach, "... polymers have been mixed with particulate matter and made into fibers..." (Col. 1, Line 54), whereby the particulate matter of their invention being "... an elemental metal or metal alloy, or may be nonmetallic..." (Col. 6, Line 14), whereby their polymer is an aromatic polyamide known as aramid. La Nieve et al teach further that such addition of particulate matter will enhance the flexural strength of the fiber, while reducing its tensile strength (modulus of elasticity), whereby their inventive feature is the minimization of such consequential reduction in loss of tensile strength.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the base material of De Angelis with the teaching of LaNieve et al, in order to gain the benefits of the structural and performance features of LaNieve.

In Claim 2, De Angelis discloses his strands having a plurality of fibers (5) formed into a cable (4 and, in total, 1).

Application/Control Number: 10/717,805

Art Unit: 3654

In Claims 5 and 10, as noted above, LaNieve at al teach a reinforcing material as particulate matter, such as platelets and needles (Col. 6, Line 35).

Regarding Claims 11 – 15, the devices of Claims 1 – 10 would necessarily have to be formed in order to function. It would have been obvious to perform all the method steps of claims 11-15 when producing the device of De Angelis as modified by LaNieve et al above, in a usual and expected fashion, in as much as the method claims recite no limiting steps beyond producing each of the components.

In Claim 11, De Angelis, discloses an elongated load-bearing support device (1) with fibers (5) from a base material in a first phase (aramid fibers) and a reinforcing material in a second phase ("... an impregnating medium, ...polyurethane solution), with the load-bearing strands (4) thereof being surrounded by a sheath (7).

LaNieve et al teach further "... polymers have been mixed with particulate matter and made into fibers..."

In Claim 12, De Angelis and LaNieve et al disclose a base material selected from aramid.

In Claim 13, De Angelis discloses a reinforcing means by impregnation with a polyurethane solution to increase the bending fatigue strength of the base material, whereas LaNieve et al teach a reinforcing material as "...an elemental metal or metal alloy..." which is used to fill their base material.

In Claim 14, La Nieve et al teach further that addition of particulate matter will enhance the flexural strength (modulus of elasticity in a radial direction).

In Claim 15, LaNieve at al teach a reinforcing material as particulate matter, such as platelets and needles.

Application/Control Number: 10/717,805

Art Unit: 3654

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Sandt (5,576,081), Causa et al (5,576,104) and Oleson et al (4,956,039) are cited for an elongated structural element filled with a polymer binder in liquid form with a dispersion of fiber reinforcement material; elastomers having high modulus rigid rod liquid crystalline fibers as fiber reinforcement for use in tires; and a cable-like composite body comprising a thermoplastic sleeve that "... is preferably filled with reinforcement elements having a high modulus of elasticity..." as well as a core string comprising a thermoplastic material with filaments of "... preferably E-.. S-glass...", respectively.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 21 July 2006 have been fully considered but they are but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stefan Kruer whose telephone number is 571.272.5913. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eileen Lillis can be reached on 571.272.6928. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571.273.8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866.217.9197 (toll-free).

20 Devember 2006

PATRICK MACKEY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600